IPSO will publish information on a quarterly basis where we have received more than ten complaints under Clause 12 of the Editors’ Code of Practice about the same issue. The information below gives a brief summary of the types of complaints received and the outcome. It is not intended to be exhaustive and the statistics about the numbers of complaints received are accurate up to the end of the relevant quarter. These statistics do not include any cases still under investigation; cases relating to any non-regulated publications; or cases where IPSO received fewer than 10 complaints.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Headline</th>
<th>Publications</th>
<th>Characteristics/group</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than 100 complaints received</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One day, Harold the glove puppet will tell the truth about A Woman Talking B****ks</td>
<td>The Sun</td>
<td>The Duchess of Sussex</td>
<td>Print and online comment piece about the Duchess of Sussex. Complainants believed the article was offensive and discriminatory towards the Duchess. Two representative group complaints are being investigated under Clause 1 (Accuracy), Clause 3 (Harassment) and Clause 12 (Discrimination). Further information can be found on IPSO’s website: <a href="https://www.ipso.co.uk/news-press-releases/news/complaints-investigated-over-jeremy-clarkson-s-article-on-the-duchess-of-sussex/">https://www.ipso.co.uk/news-press-releases/news/complaints-investigated-over-jeremy-clarkson-s-article-on-the-duchess-of-sussex/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 10 and 100 complaints</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles &amp; Kate lead salute to the fallen / A NATION REMEMBERS</td>
<td>Daily Mirror</td>
<td>The Princess of Wales</td>
<td>Front page photograph of the Princess of Wales attending the Cenotaph Remembrance Day ceremony. Complainants believed the photograph had been altered to make her look older. Complainants said that this was intended to be malicious and was sexist and misogynistic. Complaints all made by third parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFH family doctor conducts online consultations from her Cornish home that's 260 MILES away from patients: Fury as GP works 'remotely' despite West Sussex surgery in 'high demand'</td>
<td>Mail Online</td>
<td>An individual/GPs</td>
<td>Online article about a GP who had moved 260 miles away from her surgery and therefore, only offered online consultations. Complainants believed that the article had singled out a specific GP, and vilified her, because she was female. Many complainants expressed concern that this article would fuel criticisms of GPs and would put them at risk from patients. Complaints all made by third parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headline</td>
<td>Publications</td>
<td>Characteristics/group</td>
<td>Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The doctor will see you now... 265 miles away from the surgery</td>
<td>The Daily Telegraph</td>
<td>An individual/GPs</td>
<td>Print and online article about a GP who worked over 260 miles away from her surgery. Complainants believed that the article was inaccurate and intruded into the GP’s privacy, as well as discriminating against her because she is female. Complaints all made by third parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#!*&amp;@! MEG’S CRASH</td>
<td>The Sun</td>
<td>The Duchess of Sussex</td>
<td>Print comment piece which is critical of the Duchess of Sussex. Complainants believed the article to be racist and misogynistic. Complaints all made by third parties.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>